arXiv:math/9804054v1 [math.CV] 9 Apr 1998

Canonical Isomorphism of Two Lie Algebras Arising in CR-geometry*[†]

V. V. Ezhov and A. V. Isaev

We show that the maximal prolongation of a certain algebra associated with a non-degenerate Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^k$ is canonically isomorphic to the Lie algebra of infinitesimal holomorphic automorphisms of the corresponding quadric in $\mathbb{C}^{n+k}$. This fact creates a link between different approaches to the equivalence problem for Levi-nondegenerate strongly uniform CR-manifolds.

0 Introduction and Formulation of Result

A *CR-structure* on a smooth real manifold M of dimension m is a smooth distribution of subspaces in the tangent spaces $T^c_p(M) \subset T_p(M)$, $p \in M$, with operators of complex structure $J_p : T^c_p(M) \to T^c_p(M)$, $J^2_p \equiv -\mathrm{id}$, that depend smoothly on p. A manifold M equipped with a CR-structure is called a *CR-manifold*. It follows that the number $CR\mathrm{dim}M := \mathrm{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} T^c_p(M)$ does not depend on p; it is called the *CR-dimension* of M. The number $CR\mathrm{codim}M := m - 2CR\mathrm{dim}M$ is called the *CR-codimension* of M. CR-structures naturally arise on real submanifolds in complex manifolds. Indeed, if, for example, M is a real submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^K$, then one can define the distribution $T^c_p(M)$ as follows:

$$T^c_p(M) := T_p(M) \cap iT_p(M).$$

On each $T^c_p(M)$ the operator J_p is then defined as the operator of multiplication by i. Then $\{T^c_p(M), J_p\}_{p \in M}$ form a CR-structure on M, if $\mathrm{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}\, T^c_p(M)$ is constant. This is always the case, for example, if M is a real hypersurface in $\mathbb{C}^K$ (in which case $CR\mathrm{codim}M = 1$). We say that such a CR-structure is *induced by* $\mathbb{C}^K$.

A mapping between two CR-manifolds $f : M_1 \to M_2$ is called a *CR-mapping*, if for every $p \in M_1$: **(i)** $df(p)$ maps $T^c_p(M_1)$ to $T^c_{f(p)}(M_2)$, and **(ii)**

---

*Mathematics Subject Classification:** 32C16, 32F40, 17B66

[†]**Keywords and Phrases:** CR-manifolds, equivalence problem, isomorphism of Lie algebras

$df(p)$ is complex linear on $T_p^c(M_1)$. Two CR-manifolds M_1, M_2 are called CR-*equivalent*, if there is a CR-diffeomorphism from M_1 onto M_2. Such a CR-diffeomorphism f is called a CR-*isomorphism*.

Let M be a CR-manifold. For every $p \in M$ consider the complexification $T_p^c(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$. Clearly, this complexification can be represented as the direct sum

$$T_p^c(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C} = T_p^{(1,0)}(M) \oplus T_p^{(0,1)}(M),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} T_p^{(1,0)}(M) &:= \{X - iJ_pX : X \in T_p^c(M)\}, \\ T_p^{(0,1)}(M) &:= \{X + iJ_pX : X \in T_p^c(M)\}. \end{aligned}$$

The CR-structure on M is called *integrable* if for any local sections Z, Z' of the bundle $T^{(1,0)}(M)$, the vector field $[Z, Z']$ is also a section of $T^{(1,0)}(M)$. It is not difficult to see that if $M \subset \mathbb{C}^K$ and the CR-structure on M is induced by $\mathbb{C}^K$, then it is integrable.

An important characteristic of a CR-structure called the *Levi form* comes from taking commutators of local sections of $T^{(1,0)}(M)$ and $T^{(0,1)}(M)$. Let $p \in M$, $z, z' \in T_p^{(1,0)}(M)$, and Z, Z' be local sections of $T^{(1,0)}(M)$ near p such that $Z(p) = z$, $Z'(p) = z'$. The Levi form of M at p is the Hermitian form on $T_p^{(1,0)}(M) \times T_p^{(1,0)}$ with values in $(T_p(M)/T_p^c(M)) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ given by

$$\mathcal{L}_M(p)(z, z') := i[Z, \overline{Z'}](p)(\operatorname{mod} T_p^c(M) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}).$$

The Levi form is defined uniquely up to the choice of coordinates in $(T_p(M)/T_p^c(M)) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$, and, for fixed z and z', its value does not depend on the choice of Z and Z'.

Let $H = (H^1, \ldots, H^k)$ be a Hermitian form on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^k$. For any such H there is a corresponding standard CR-manifold $Q_H \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+k}$ of CR-dimension n and CR-codimension k defined as follows:

$$Q_H := \{(z, w) : \operatorname{Im} w = H(z, z)\},$$

where $z := (z_1, \ldots, z_n)$, $w := (w_1, \ldots, w_k)$ are coordinates in $\mathbb{C}^{n+k}$. The manifold Q_H is often called the *quadric associated with the form* H. The Levi form of Q_H at any point is given by H.

A Hermitian form H is called *non-degenerate* if:

(i) The scalar Hermitian forms $H^1, \ldots, H^k$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$;

(ii) $H(z, z') = 0$ for all $z' \in \mathbb{C}^n$ implies $z = 0$.

A CR-structure on M is called *Levi non-degenerate*, if its Levi form at any $p \in M$ is non-degenerate. An important tool in the geometry of Levi non-degenerate integrable CR-manifolds is the *automorphism group of* Q_H. Let $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_H)$ denote the collection of all local CR-isomorphisms of Q_H to itself that we call *local CR-automorphisms*. It turns out that, if H is non-degenerate, then any local CR-automorphism extends to a rational (more precisely, a matrix fractional quadratic) map of $\mathbb{C}^{n+k}$ [KT], [F], [Tu], [ES1]. Thus, for a non-degenerate H, $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_H)$ is a finite-dimensional Lie group. Let $\mathfrak{g}_H$ denote the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_H)$. As shown in [B], [S], (see also [ES2] for a simple proof), the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_H$ consists of polynomial vector fields on $\mathbb{C}^{n+k}$ of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_H \quad = \quad & \Bigg\{ \Big(p + Cz + aw + A(z,z) + B(z,w) \Big) \frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \\ & \Big(q + 2iH(z,p) + sw + 2iH(z, a\overline{w}) + r(w,w) \Big) \frac{\partial}{\partial w} \Bigg\}, \qquad (0.1) \end{aligned}$$

where $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^k$, C is an $n \times n$-matrix, s is a $k \times k$-matrix, $A(z,z)$ is a quadratic form on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ with values in $\mathbb{C}^n$, a is an $n \times k$-matrix, $B(z,w)$ is a bilinear form on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k$ with values in $\mathbb{C}^n$, $r(w_1, w_2)$ is a symmetric bilinear form on $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$ with values in $\mathbb{C}^k$, and the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} 2\mathrm{Re}\, H(Cz, z) &= sH(z,z), & (0.2.a) \\ H(A(z,z), z) &= 2iH(z, aH(z,z)), & (0.2.b) \\ \mathrm{Re}\, H(B(z,u), z) &= r(H(z,z), u), & (0.2.c) \\ \mathrm{Im}\, H(B(z, H(z,z)), z) &= 0, & (0.2.d) \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $u \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

We can now make $\mathfrak{g}_H$ into a graded Lie algebra by introducing weights as follows: z has weight 1, w has weight 2, $\frac{\partial}{\partial z}$ has weight -1, $\frac{\partial}{\partial w}$ has weight -2.

Then we get $\mathfrak{g}_H = \oplus_{l=-2}^{2} \mathfrak{g}_H^l$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{g}_H^{-2} &:= \left\{ q\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \right\}, && (0.3.a)\\
\mathfrak{g}_H^{-1} &:= \left\{ p\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \right\}, && (0.3.b)\\
\mathfrak{g}_H^{0} &:= \left\{ Cz\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + sw\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \right\}, && (0.3.c)\\
\mathfrak{g}_H^{1} &:= \left\{ (aw + A(z,z))\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,a\overline{w})\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \right\}, && (0.3.d)\\
\mathfrak{g}_H^{2} &:= \left\{ B(z,w)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + r(w,w)\frac{\partial}{\partial w} \right\}. && (0.3.e)
\end{aligned}$$

Note that Q_H is a homogeneous manifold since the global CR-automorphisms

$$\begin{aligned}
z &\mapsto z + z^0,\\
w &\mapsto w + w^0 + 2iH(z,z^0), && (0.4)
\end{aligned}$$

for $(z^0, w^0) \in Q_H$, act transitively on Q_H. The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_H^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_H^{-2}$ is the Lie algebra of the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_H)$ consisting of automorphisms of the form (0.4). The subalgebra $\mathfrak{g}_H^0$ is the Lie algebra of the subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(Q_H)$ consisting of linear automorphisms, i.e. automorphisms of the form

$$z \mapsto Pz, \qquad w \mapsto Rw,$$

where P is a complex $n \times n$-matrix, R is a real $k \times k$-matrix such that

$$R^{-1}H(Pz,Pz) = H(z,z).$$

The components $\mathfrak{g}_H^1$, $\mathfrak{g}_H^2$ are responsible for the existence of nonlinear automorphisms of Q_H that preserve the origin.

An example of how the algebra $\mathfrak{g}_H$ is used in CR-geometry is the equivalence problem for *strongly uniform* CR-manifolds. Let H_1, H_2 be two $\mathbb{R}^k$-valued Hermitian forms on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$. We say that H_1 and H_2 are *equivalent*, if there exist linear transformations A of $\mathbb{C}^n$ and B of $\mathbb{R}^k$ such that

$$H_2(z,z) = BH_1(Az,Az).$$

We call a CR-manifold M strongly uniform, if the forms $\mathcal{L}_M(p)$ are equivalent for all $p \in M$. If, for example, M is Levi non-degenerate and $CR\mathrm{codim} M = 1$ then M is strongly uniform. The equivalence problem for strongly uniform Levi non-degenerate integrable CR-manifolds is usually approached by constructing a CR-invariant parallelism on certain bundles over the manifolds with values in a suitable Lie algebra. In the number of cases (see [C], [CM], [L], [EIS]) this Lie algebra was chosen to be $\mathfrak{g}_H$, where H is a Hermitian form equivalent to any $\mathcal{L}_M(p)$, $p \in M$. In the general approach of Tanaka [Ta], however, a seemingly different algebra was used: Tanaka considered a certain maximal prolongation $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H$ of $\mathfrak{g}_H^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_H^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_H^0$. It is therefore a reasonable question whether the algebras $\mathfrak{g}_H$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H$ are isomorphic. In this paper we give a positive answer to this question in the main theorem below (see [Ta], [L], [EIS] for partial results).

We will now give the precise definition of the algebra $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H$ from [Ta]. It is defined as an a priori infinite-dimensional graded Lie algebra

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H = \mathfrak{g}_H^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_H^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_H^0 \oplus \left(\oplus_{l=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^l\right)$$

which is maximal among all Lie algebras of the above form that satisfy the conditions:

(i) For $l \geq 0$ and $X \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^l$, $[X, \mathfrak{g}_H^{-1}] = 0$ implies $X = 0$;

(ii) $\mathfrak{g}_H^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_H^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_H^0$ is a subalgebra of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H$.

It is shown in [Ta] that $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H$ is unique and can be constructed by the following inductive procedure. First we define vector spaces $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^l$ and brackets $[X_l, X_{-1}] \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{l-1}$, $[X_l, X_{-2}] \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{l-2}$, where $X_p \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^p$ (we set $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^l := \mathfrak{g}_H^l$ for $l = -2, -1, 0$). Suppose that these spaces and brackets have been defined for for $0 \leq l \leq L-1$ in such a way that the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} [[X_l, X_{-1}], Y_{-1}] - [[X_l, Y_{-1}], X_{-1}] &= [X_l, [X_{-1}, Y_{-1}]], & (0.5.a)\\ [[X_l, X_{-2}], X_{-1}] &= [[X_l, X_{-1}], X_{-2}], & (0.5.b) \end{aligned}$$

for all $X_l \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^l$, $X_{-1}, Y_{-1} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-1}$. Then we define $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^L$ to be the vector space of all linear mappings $X_L : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-1} \to \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{L-1}$ for which there exist linear mappings $X'_L : \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-2} \to \mathfrak{g}_H^{L-2}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} [X_L(X_{-1}), Y_{-1}] - [X_L(Y_{-1}), X_{-1}] &= X'_L([X_{-1}, Y_{-1}]), & (0.6.a)\\ [X'_L(X_{-2}), X_{-1}] &= [X_L(X_{-1}), X_{-2}], & (0.6.b) \end{aligned}$$

for all $X_{-1}, Y_{-1} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-1}$, $X_{-2} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-2}$. We set $[X_L, X_{-1}] := X_L(X_{-1})$ for all $X_{-1} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-1}$. Since H is non-degenerate, we have $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-2} = [\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-1}, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-1}]$, and therefore X_L' is uniquely determined by X_L. Then we set $[X_L, X_{-2}] := X_L'(X_{-2})$ for all $X_{-2} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-2}$. We also set $[X_{-1}, X_l] := -[X_l, X_{-1}]$ and $[X_{-2}, X_l] := -[X_l, X_{-2}]$. Clearly, (0.6) then gives equations (0.5) for $l = L$.

Note that equations (0.5) imply

$$[[X_l, X_{-2}], Y_{-2}] = [[X_l, Y_{-2}], X_{-2}], \tag{0.7}$$

for all $X_l \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^l$, $l \geq 0$, and $X_{-2}, Y_{-2} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-2}$.

Let us now define brackets $[X_p, X_q] \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{p+q}$, $X_p \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^p$, $X_q \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^q$, $p, q \geq 0$, inductively as follows. Suppose that these brackets have been defined for $p, q \geq 0$, $p + q \leq L - 1$, in such a way that for any $X_p \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^p$, $X_q \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^q$ the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} \left[[X_p, X_q], X_{-1}\right] &= \left[[X_p, X_{-1}], X_q\right] + \left[X_p, [X_q, X_{-1}]\right], & (0.8.a) \\ \left[[X_p, X_q], X_{-2}\right] &= \left[[X_p, X_{-2}], X_q\right] + \left[X_p, [X_q, X_{-2}]\right], & (0.8.b) \end{aligned}$$

for all $X_{-1} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-1}$, $X_{-2} \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-2}$. We take any $X_p \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^p$, $X_q \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^q$ with $p, q \geq 0$ and $p + q = L$ and define linear mappings X_L and X_L' from $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-1}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^{-2}$ to $\mathfrak{g}_H^{L-1}$ and $\mathfrak{g}_H^{L-2}$ respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} X_L(X_{-1}) &:= [[X_p, X_{-1}], X_q] + [X_p, [X_q, X_{-1}]], \\ X_L'(X_{-2}) &:= [[X_p, X_{-2}], X_q] + [X_p, [X_q, X_{-2}]]. \end{aligned}$$

Then we see that X_L, X_L' so defined satisfy (0.6) and therefore $X_L \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^L$. We then define $[X_p, X_q] := X_L$. Clearly, this definition gives identities (0.8) for all $p, q \geq 0$, $p + q = L$. Thus $[X_p, X_q]$ have been defined for all $p, q \geq 0$. Note that $[X_p, X_q] = -[X_q, X_p]$ for all $p, q \geq 0$. By induction, we can also prove

$$[[X_p, X_q], X_r] + [[X_q, X_r], X_p] + [[X_r, X_p], X_q] = 0, \tag{0.9}$$

for all $X_p \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^p$, $X_q \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^q$, $X_r \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^r$, $p, q, r \geq 0$. By (0.5), (0.7), (0.8), (0.9) the brackets defined above give a Lie algebra structure on $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H$. This completes the construction of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H$ in [Ta].

We now define a mapping $\Phi : \mathfrak{g}_H \to \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\Phi \text{ is identical on } \mathfrak{g}_H^{-2} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_H^{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_H^0, \\ &[\Phi(X)](X_{-1}) := [X, X_{-1}] \text{ for } X \in \mathfrak{g}_H^1, \\ &[[\Phi(X)](X_{-1})](Y_{-1}) := [[X, X_{-1}], Y_{-1}] \text{ for } X \in \mathfrak{g}_H^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that Φ is a Lie algebra homomorphism and $\ker\Phi = \{0\}$. Moreover, $\Phi(\mathfrak{g}_H^p) \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^p$ for $p = 1, 2$.

We are now ready to formulate the main result of the paper.

THEOREM 0.1 *The mapping Φ is an isomorphism.*

We will prove the theorem in the next section. Before proceeding, we would like to thank G. Schmalz for useful discussions.

1 Proof of Theorem

It is clear from the preceding discussion that to prove the theorem it is sufficient to show that $\dim\mathfrak{g}_H^p = \dim\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^p$ for $p = 1, 2$, and $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^3 = \{0\}$.

Lemma 1.1 *$dim\,\mathfrak{g}_H^1 = dim\,\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^1$.*

Proof: Let $X_1 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^1$. Since $\mathfrak{g}_H^{-1}$, $\mathfrak{g}_H^0$ are given in the form as in (0.3.b), (0.3.c), X_1 can be written as

$$X_1\left(p\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right) = \phi(p)z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \psi(p)w\frac{\partial}{\partial w},$$

$p \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where ϕ, ψ are real-linear mappings from $\mathbb{C}^n$ to the spaces $M(n,\mathbb{C})$ of complex $n\times n$- and $M(k,\mathbb{R})$ of real $k\times k$-matrices respectively such that, for any $p, z \in \mathbb{C}^n$,

$$\psi(p)H(z,z) = 2\mathrm{Re}\,H(\phi(p)z, z) \qquad (1.1)$$

(see (0.2.a)). Let X_1' be the linear mapping from $\mathfrak{g}_H^{-2}$ to $\mathfrak{g}_H^{-1}$ corresponding to X_1 as in the definition of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^1$. It then follows from (0.3.a), (0.3.b) that X_1' can be written in the form

$$X_1'\left(q\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right) = \mu(q)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,\mu(q))\frac{\partial}{\partial w},$$

$q \in \mathbb{R}^k$, where μ is a linear mapping from $\mathbb{R}^k$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$. Next, conditions (0.6) for $L = 1$ are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 4\mu\left(\mathrm{Im}\,H(p_1,p_2)\right) &= \phi(p_1)p_2 - \phi(p_2)p_1, && (1.2.a)\\ 4\mathrm{Im}\,H(\mu(q),p) &= \psi(p)q, && (1.2.b) \end{aligned}$$

for all $p, p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

We set

$$A(p,p) := \frac{1}{2}\phi(p)p - i\mu(H(p,p)), \qquad a := \mu,$$

$p \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We will show that the following holds (cf. (0.2.b))

$$H(A(p,p),p) = 2iH(p, aH(p,p)), \tag{1.3}$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$. We write ϕ in the most general form

$$\phi(p) = Rp + Q\overline{p},$$

where R, Q are constant vectors of length n with entries from $M(n,\mathbb{C})$. Formulas (1.1), (1.2) then give

$$\begin{aligned}
Rp_1p_2 &= Rp_2p_1, && (1.4.a)\\
Q\overline{p_1}p_2 &= 2i\mu(H(p_2,p_1)), && (1.4.b)\\
H(Rp_1p_2,p_3) &= 2iH(p_1,\mu(H(p_2,p_3))) - H(p_2, Q\overline{p_1}p_3), && (1.4.c)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where μ is complex-linearly extended from $\mathbb{R}^k$ to $\mathbb{C}^k$. Identities (1.3) easily follow from (1.4.b), (1.4.c). Identity (1.4.b) in addition gives

$$A(p,p) = \frac{1}{2}Rpp,$$

thus showing that A is a quadratic form on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$.

It is clear from (1.1), (1.4.b), (1.4.c) that a uniquely determines X_1 (also note that (1.3) implies that A and a uniquely determine each other), and the lemma is proved. □

Lemma 1.2 *$dim\, \mathfrak{g}^2_H = dim\, \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^2_H$.*

Proof: Let $X_2 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^2_H$. It follows from (0.3.a)–(0.3.c) that there exist real-bilinear mappings $\phi(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $\psi(\cdot,\cdot)$ from $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ to the spaces $M(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $M(k,\mathbb{R})$ respectively, and a real-bilinear mapping $\mu(\cdot,\cdot)$ from $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
X_2\left(p_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\left(p_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right) &= \phi(p_1,p_2)z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \psi(p_1,p_2)w\frac{\partial}{\partial w},\\
\left[X_2\left(p\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\right]'\left(q\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right) &= \mu(p,q)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,\mu(p,q))\frac{\partial}{\partial w},
\end{aligned}$$

$p, p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^k$, where $\left[X_2\left(p\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\right]'$ corresponds to $X_2\left(p\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)$ as an element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^1_H$. Let X_2' be the corresponding linear mapping from $\mathfrak{g}_H^{-2}$ to $\mathfrak{g}_H^0$. It follows from (0.3.a), (0.3.c) that it can be written in the form

$$X_2'\left(q\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right) = \eta(q)z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \nu(q)w\frac{\partial}{\partial w},$$

$q \in \mathbb{R}^k$, where η and ν are linear mappings from $\mathbb{R}^k$ to the spaces $M(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $M(k,\mathbb{R})$ respectively. Equation (0.2.a) gives that the following conditions are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(p_1,p_2)H(z,z) &= 2\mathrm{Re}\, H(\phi(p_1,p_2)z,z), && (1.5.a)\\ \nu(q)H(z,z) &= 2\mathrm{Re}\, H(\eta(q)z,z), && (1.5.b) \end{aligned}$$

for all $p_1, p_2, z \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Next, analogously to (1.2), the following holds

$$\begin{aligned} 4\mu\left(p_1, \mathrm{Im}\, H(p_2,p_3)\right) &= \phi(p_1,p_2)p_3 - \phi(p_1,p_3)p_2, && (1.6.a)\\ 4\mathrm{Im}\, H(\mu(p_1,q),p_2) &= \psi(p_1,p_2)q, && (1.6.b) \end{aligned}$$

for all $p, p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Further, conditions (0.6) for $L = 2$ are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} 4\eta\left(\mathrm{Im}\, H(p_1,p_2)\right) &= \phi(p_2,p_1) - \phi(p_1,p_2), && (1.7.a)\\ \mu(p,q) &= -\eta(q)p, && (1.7.b) \end{aligned}$$

for all $p, p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^k$.

We set

$$B(p,s) := \eta(s)p, \qquad r(s_1,s_2) := \frac{1}{2}\nu(s_2)s_1,$$

$p \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $s, s_1, s_2 \in \mathbb{C}^k$, where η, ν are complex-linearly extended to $\mathbb{C}^k$. Then (1.5.b) implies

$$\mathrm{Re}\, H(B(p,q),p) = r(H(p,p),q), \tag{1.8}$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{C}^n, q \in \mathbb{R}^k$, which is analogous to (0.2.c). It follows from (1.6) that ϕ is uniquely determined by μ (as in (1.4) above). Therefore, by (1.5.a) and (1.7.b), X_2 is uniquely determined by B (note that B also uniquely determines r by (1.8)). Thus, it is clear from (0.2.d) that to prove the lemma, we need to show that

$$\operatorname{Im} H(B(p, H(p,p)), p) = 0, \tag{1.9}$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{C}^n$ and that $r(s_1, s_2)$ is symmetric. We write ϕ in the most general form

$$\phi(p_1, p_2) = Mp_1p_2 + N\overline{p_1}p_2 + Tp_1\overline{p_2} + S\overline{p_1}\overline{p_2}.$$

Then (1.5.a), (1.6), (1.7) give

$$\begin{aligned}
M &= 0, && (1.10.a)\\
S &= 0, && (1.10.b)\\
N\overline{p_1}p_2p_3 &= N\overline{p_1}p_3p_2, && (1.10.c)\\
N\overline{p_1}p_2p_3 - Tp_2\overline{p_1}p_3 &= 2i\mu(p_3, H(p_2, p_1)), && (1.10.d)\\
Tp_1\overline{p_2}p_3 &= 2i\mu(p_1, H(p_3, p_2)), && (1.10.e)\\
H(N\overline{p_1}p_2p_3, p_4) &= 2iH(p_2, \mu(p_1, H(p_3, p_4))) - H(p_3, Tp_1\overline{p_2}p_4), && (1.10.f)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where μ is extended in the last argument to a complex-linear mapping on $\mathbb{C}^k$. Calculating $\operatorname{Im} H(\eta(H(p,p))p, p)$ from (1.7.a) we get

$$\operatorname{Im} H(\eta(H(p,p))p, p) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re} H(N\overline{p}pp - Tp\overline{p}p, p).$$

On the other hand, (1.5.a), (1.6.b), (1.7.b) give

$$\operatorname{Im} H(\eta(H(p,p))p, p) = -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Re} H(N\overline{p}pp + Tp\overline{p}p + Mppp + S\overline{p}\overline{p}p, p).$$

Comparing the last two expressions and using (1.10.a), (1.10.b), (1.10.d), (1.10.e) yields (1.9).

To show that $r(s_1, s_2)$ is symmetric, by (1.5.b), we need to prove that

$$\operatorname{Re} H(\eta(H(p_1, p_1))p_2, p_2) = \operatorname{Re} H(\eta(H(p_2, p_2))p_1, p_1), \tag{1.11}$$

for all $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. It follows from (1.7.a) that

$$\operatorname{Re} H(\eta(H(p_1, p_1))p_2, p_2) = -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Im} H(N\overline{p_1}p_1p_2 - Tp_1\overline{p_1}p_2, p_2), \tag{1.12}$$

for all $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. On the other hand, (1.5.a), (1.6.b), (1.7.b), (1.10.a), (1.10.b) give

$$\operatorname{Re} H(\eta(H(p_1,p_1))p_2,p_2) = -\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Im} H(N\overline{p_2}p_2p_1 - Tp_2\overline{p_2}p_1, p_1),$$

for all $p_1, p_2 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, which together with (1.12) implies (1.11).

The lemma is proved. $\square$

Lemma 1.3 $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^3 = \{0\}$.

Proof: Let $X_3 \in \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^3$. Then there exist real-trilinear mappings $\phi(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)$, $\psi(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)$ from $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ to the spaces $M(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $M(k,\mathbb{R})$ respectively, real-bilinear mappings $\eta(\cdot,\cdot)$, $\nu(\cdot,\cdot)$ from $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k$ to the above spaces of matrices respectively, and a real-trilinear mapping $\mu(\cdot,\cdot,\cdot)$ from $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{R}^k$ to $\mathbb{C}^n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&X_3\left(p_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\left(p_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\left(p_3\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p_3)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right) = \\
&\phi(p_1,p_2,p_3)z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \psi(p_1,p_2,p_3)w\frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \\
&\left[X_3\left(p_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\left(p_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\right]'\left(q\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right) = \\
&\mu(p_1,p_2,q)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,\mu(p_1,p_2,q))\frac{\partial}{\partial w}, \\
&\left[X_3\left(p\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\right]'\left(q\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right) = \\
&\eta(p,q)z\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + \nu(p,q)w\frac{\partial}{\partial w},
\end{aligned}$$

$p, p_1, p_2, p_3 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, $q \in \mathbb{R}^k$, where $[X_3\left(p_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\left(p_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\Big]'$ corresponds to $X_3\left(p_1\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p_1)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\left(p_2\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p_2)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)$ as an element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^1$ and $[X_3\left(p\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\Big]'$ corresponds to $X_3\left(p\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH(z,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)$ as an element of $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}_H^2$. Let X_3' be the corresponding linear mapping from $\mathfrak{g}_H^{-2}$

to $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}^1_H$. Then there exist real-bilinear mappings $\lambda(\cdot,\cdot)$ and $\rho(\cdot,\cdot)$ from $\mathbb{C}^n\times\mathbb{R}^k$ to the spaces $M(n,\mathbb{C})$ and $M(k,\mathbb{R})$ respectively such that

$$X_3'\left(q\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)\left(p\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+2iH(z,p)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}\right)=\lambda(p,q)z\frac{\partial}{\partial z}+\rho(p,q)w\frac{\partial}{\partial w},$$

$p\in\mathbb{C}^n$, $q\in\mathbb{R}^k$. Equation (0.2.a) gives

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(p_1,p_2,p_3)H(z,z) &= 2\mathrm{Re}\, H(\phi(p_1,p_2,p_3)z,z), & (1.13.a)\\ \nu(p,q)H(z,z) &= 2\mathrm{Re}\, H(\eta(p,q)z,z), & (1.13.b)\\ \rho(p,q)H(z,z) &= 2\mathrm{Re}\, H(\lambda(p,q)z,z), & (1.13.c)\end{aligned}$$

for all $p,p_1,p_2,p_3,z\in\mathbb{C}^n$, $q\in\mathbb{R}^k$. Next, analogously to (1.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned}4\mu\left(p_1,p_2,\mathrm{Im}\, H(p_3,p_4)\right) &= \phi(p_1,p_2,p_3)p_4-\phi(p_1,p_2,p_4)p_3, & (1.14.a)\\ 4\mathrm{Im}\, H(\mu(p_1,p_2,q),p_3) &= \psi(p_1,p_2,p_3)q, & (1.14.b)\end{aligned}$$

for all $p_1,p_2,p_3\in\mathbb{C}^n$, $q\in\mathbb{R}^k$. Further, there are the following analogues of identities (1.7)

$$\begin{aligned}4\eta\left(p_1,\mathrm{Im}\, H(p_2,p_3)\right) &= \phi(p_1,p_3,p_2)-\phi(p_1,p_2,p_3), & (1.15.a)\\ \mu(p_1,p_2,q) &= -\eta(p_1,q)p_2, & (1.15.b)\end{aligned}$$

for all $p_1,p_2\in\mathbb{C}^n$, $q\in\mathbb{R}^k$. Finally, conditions (0.6) for $L=3$ are equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}4\lambda(p_1,\mathrm{Im}\, H(p_2,p_3)) &= \phi(p_3,p_2,p_1)-\phi(p_2,p_3,p_1), & (1.16.a)\\ \lambda(p,q) &= \eta(p,q), & (1.16.b)\end{aligned}$$

for all $p,p_1,p_2,p_3\in\mathbb{C}^n$, $q\in\mathbb{R}^k$.

We will now show that identities (1.13)–(1.16) imply that $X_3=0$. It follows by the argument in Lemma 1.2 from (1.13.a) and identities (1.14), (1.15) that ϕ has the form (see (1.10.a), (1.10.b))

$$\phi(p_1,p_2,p_3)=Gp_1\overline{p_2}p_3+K\overline{p_1p_2}p_3+Fp_1p_2\overline{p_3}+L\overline{p_1}p_2\overline{p_3},$$

and (see (1.10.c)–(1.10.f))

$$Gp_1p_2p_3p_4 = Gp_1p_2p_4p_3, \qquad (1.17.a)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(Gp_1 + K\overline{p_1})\overline{p_2}p_3p_4 &- (Fp_1 + L\overline{p_1})p_3\overline{p_2}p_4 = \\
&\quad 2i\mu(p_1, p_4, H(p_3, p_2)), && (1.17.b) \\
(Fp_1 + L\overline{p_1})p_2\overline{p_3}p_4 &= 2i\mu(p_1, p_2, H(p_4, p_3)), && (1.17.c) \\
H((Gp_1 + K\overline{p_1})\overline{p_2}p_3p_4, p_5) &= 2iH(p_3, \mu(p_1, p_2, H(p_5, p_4))) - \\
&\quad H(p_4, (Fp_1 + L\overline{p_1})p_2\overline{p_3}p_5), && (1.17.d) \\
Kp_1p_2p_3p_4 &= Kp_1p_2p_4p_3, && (1.17.e)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in \mathbb{C}^n$, where μ is extended complex-linearly to $\mathbb{C}^k$ in the last argument. Further, (1.15.b), (1.16) imply

$$L\overline{p_1}p_2\overline{p_3}p_4 - Gp_2\overline{p_1}p_3p_4 = 2i\mu(p_3, p_4, H(p_2, p_1)), \qquad (1.18)$$

for all $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. From (1.17.b)–(1.17.d), (1.18) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
H(K\overline{p_1p_2}p_3p_4, p_5) &= H(p_3, Gp_5\overline{p_4}p_1p_2) - H(p_4, Fp_1p_2\overline{p_3}p_5), && (1.19.a) \\
H(Gp_1\overline{p_2}p_3p_4, p_5) &= -H(p_3, L\overline{p_4}p_5\overline{p_1}p_2) - H(p_4, L\overline{p_1}p_2\overline{p_3}p_5), && (1.19.b) \\
Fp_1p_2p_3p_4 &= -Gp_4p_3p_1p_2, && (1.19.c) \\
Lp_1p_2p_3p_4 &= Lp_3p_4p_1p_2, && (1.19.d) \\
Kp_1p_2p_3p_4 &= Lp_1p_3p_2p_4 + Lp_1p_4p_2p_3, && (1.19.e) \\
Gp_1p_2p_3p_4 + Gp_3p_2p_1p_4 &= Fp_3p_1p_2p_4, && (1.19.f)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4, p_5 \in \mathbb{C}^n$.

We set

$$\begin{aligned}
D(p_1, p_2, H(p_3, p_4)) &:= iGp_3\overline{p_4}p_2p_1, && (1.20.a) \\
t(H(p_1, p_2), H(p_3, p_4)) &:= -\frac{1}{2}L\overline{p_2}p_1\overline{p_4}p_3, && (1.20.b)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $p_1, p_2, p_3, p_4 \in \mathbb{C}^n$. It follows from (1.17.a), (1.18), (1.19.d) and the non-degeneracy of H that (1.20.a) defines a complex-trilinear form D on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k$ symmetric with respect to the first two variables and (1.20.b) defines a complex-bilinear symmetric form t on $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$, both valued in $\mathbb{C}^n$. It follows from (1.13.a), (1.19.c), (1.19.e) that X_3 is uniquely determined by t (note that it follows from (1.19.b) that D is uniquely determined by t). The forms D and t satisfy the following relations

$$\begin{aligned}
H(D(p, p, q), p) &= 4iH(p, t(q, H(p, p))), && (1.21.a) \\
H(D(p, p, H(p, p)), p) &= 0, && (1.21.b)
\end{aligned}$$

for all $p \in \mathbb{C}^n, q \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Indeed, (1.21.a) follows from (1.19.b), (1.19.d); to prove (1.21.b) we note that it follows from (1.19.c), (1.19.f) that $Gp\overline{p}pp \equiv 0$.

We will now show that equations (1.21) can have only zero solutions. For this we note that a polynomial vector field X on $\mathbb{C}^{n+k}$ of the form

$$X = \Big(D'(z,z,w) + t'(w,w)\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial z} + 2iH\Big(z, t'(\overline{w},\overline{w})\Big)\frac{\partial}{\partial w}$$

defines an infinitesimal holomorphic automorphism of Q_H (i.e. $X \in \mathfrak{g}_H$) if and only if the following conditions are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} H(D'(z,z,u),z) &= 4iH(z,t'(u,H(z,z))), && (1.22.a)\\ H(D'(z,z,H(z,z)),z) &= 0, && (1.22.b) \end{aligned}$$

for all $z \in \mathbb{C}^n, u \in \mathbb{R}^k$, where D' is a complex-trilinear form on $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^k$ symmetric with respect to the first two variables, and t' is a complex-bilinear symmetric form on $\mathbb{C}^k \times \mathbb{C}^k$, both valued in $\mathbb{C}^n$. Since the vector field X has weight 3, it must be zero by (0.1). This means that equations (1.22) can have only zero solutions and therefore equations (1.21) have only zero solutions also.

Thus, $X_3 = 0$, and the lemma is proved. □

References

[B] Beloshapka, V., A uniqueness theorem for automorphisms of a nondegenerate surface in a complex space (translated from Russian), *Math. Notes* 47(1990), 239–242.

[C] Cartan, É., Sur la géometrie pseudo-conforme des hypersurfaces de l'espace de deux variables complexes: I, *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.* 11(1932), 17–90; II, *Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa* 1(1932), 333–354.

[CM] Chern, S. S. and Moser, J. K., Real hypersurfaces in complex manifolds, *Acta Math.* 133(1974), 219–271.

[EIS] Ezhov, V., Isaev, A. and Schmalz, G., Invariants of elliptic and hyperbolic CR-structures of codimension 2, *Internat. J. Math.*, to appear.

[ES1] Ezhov, V. and Schmalz, G., Holomorphic automorphisms of nondegenerate CR-quadrics: explicit description, *J. Geom. Analysis*, to appear.

[ES2] Ezhov, V. and Schmalz, G. A simple proof of Beloshapka's theorem on parametrisation of the automorphism groups of CR-manifolds (Russian), *Mat. Zametki*, to appear.

[F] Forstnerič, F., Mappings of quadric Cauchy-Riemann manifolds, *Math. Ann.* 292(1992), 163–180.

[KT] Khenkin, G., Tumanov, A., Local characterization of holomorphic automorphisms of Siegel domains (translated from Russian), *Funct. Anal. Appl.* 17(1983), 285–294.

[L] Lai, H.-F., Real submanifolds of codimension two in complex manifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 264(1981), 331–352.

[S] Satake, I., *Algebraic Structures of Symmetric Domains*, Kanô Memorial Lectures 4, Iwanami Shoten, Tokyo; Princeton University Press, 1980.

[Ta] Tanaka, N., On generalized graded Lie algebras and geometric structures I, *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 19(1967), 215–254.

[Tu] Tumanov, A., Finite-dimensionality of the group of CR automorphisms of a standard CR manifold, and proper holomorphic mappings of Siegel domains (translated from Russian), *Math. USSR. Izv.* 32(1989), 655–662.

Department of Pure Mathematics
The University of Adelaide
Box 498, G.P.O. Adelaide
South Australia 5001
AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: vezhov@spam.maths.adelaide.edu.au

Centre for Mathematics and Its Applications
The Australian National University

Canberra, ACT 0200
AUSTRALIA
E-mail address: Alexander.Isaev@anu.edu.au